

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/850,384	(	05/07/2001	Thang C. Nguyen	062891.0563 2723	
5073	7590	10/20/2006		EXAMINER	
BAKER BO 2001 ROSS			BATES, KEVIN T ,		
SUITE 600	AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75201-2980				2155	

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/850,384	NGUYEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin Bates	2155	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju	ine 2006		
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable	•	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	* ,	• •	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119/a	i-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	phoney and of o.c. o. g 110(a)	(u) 01 (i).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document:	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Bureau	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.	
	•		
Attachmont/c)			
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Onler		

## Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to a communication made on June 16, 2006.

Claims 1-45 are pending in this application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 15, 31, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park (5974134) in view of Kikuchi (6570879).

Regarding claims 1, 15, 31, and 45, Park teaches a method for sharing distributed media resources, comprising:

controlling the selected media resource device (Column 4, lines 6 – 14).

determining at a first call manager that a telephony device controlled by the first call manager requires the use of a media resource device (Column 4, lines 1 – 6); and communicating an allocation request to a device process associated with the selected media resource device, the device process executing at a second call manager

Park does not explicitly indicate that the first call manager selects an appropriate media resource device from a media resource group list associated with the telephony device.

Kikuchi teaches a system of receiving a resource device list at a call manager and selecting a resource device from said list associated with the telephony device (Column 5, lines 44 – 57).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the first call processor to handle the selection of the resource device in Park's system in order to allow that call manager to ensure the selected resource device is of the correct resource type (correct quality of service) to fill the telephony device's resource needs.

Regarding claims 6, 22, and 36, Park teaches the method of claims 1, 15, and 31, wherein: the media resource group list includes a plurality of device names each identifying a media resource device; and selecting an appropriate media resource device comprises selecting a device name from the media resource group list (Column 3, lines 50 – 56).

Regarding claims 7, 23, and 37, Park teaches the method of claims 6, 22, and 36, wherein: accessing a mapping table to determine a process identification (PID) associated with the selected device name, the PID identifying a device process associated with the media resource device identified by the device name; and communicating the allocation request to the device process using the PID (Column 3, lines 38 – 49).

Regarding claims 8, 24, and 35, Park teaches the method of claims 1, 15, and 31.

Park does not explicitly indicate that the media resource group list comprises one or more media resource groups, each media resource group including a list of device names of one or more media resource devices and a device type associated with each device name; and selecting an appropriate media resource device from the media resource group list comprises selecting a device name associated with a device type that is required by the telephony device.

Kikuchi teaches the media resource group list comprises one or more media resource groups, each media resource group including a list of device names of one or more media resource devices and a device type associated with each device name; and selecting an appropriate media resource device from the media resource group list comprises selecting a device name associated with a device type that is required by the telephony device (Column 5, lines 35 – 57; Column 6, lines 41 – 53, where the device type is the type of QoS the device is requesting, and there are different lists based on the different QoS priorities that the call processor can request).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the first call processor to handle the selection of the resource device in Park's system in order to allow that call manager to ensure the selected resource device is of the correct resource type (correct quality of service) to fill the telephony device's resource needs.

Regarding claims 11, 27, and 41, Park teaches the method of claims 8, 24, and 35.

Park does not explicitly indicate that one or more of the media resource groups include only media resource devices for use by a particular class of user.

Kikuchi teaches that one or more of the media resource groups include only media resource devices for use by a particular class of user (Column 5, lines 35 – 40).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the first call processor to handle the selection of the resource device in Park's system in order to allow that call manager to ensure the selected resource device is of the correct resource type (correct quality of service) to fill the telephony device's resource needs.

Regarding claims 12, 28, and 42, Park teaches the method of claims 1, 15, and 31, wherein receiving an allocation response from the device process indicating that the selected media resource device is available (Column 4, lines 8 - 14); and establishing a media streaming connection between the telephony device and the media resource device (Column 4, lines 1 - 14).

Regarding claims 13, 29, and 43, Park teaches the method of claims 1, 15, and 31.

Park does not explicitly indicate receiving an allocation response from the device process indicating that the selected media resource device is unavailable; selecting a second appropriate media resource device from the media resource group list; and communicating an allocation request to a second device process associated with the second media resource device.

Art Unit: 2155

Kikuchi discloses receiving an allocation response from the device process indicating that the selected media resource device is unavailable; selecting a second appropriate media resource device from the media resource group list; and communicating an allocation request to a second device process associated with the second media resource device (Column 6, line 57 – Column 7, line 15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the first call processor to handle the selection of the resource device in Park's system in order to allow that call manager to ensure the selected resource device is of the correct resource type (correct quality of service) to fill the telephony device's resource needs.

Regarding claims 14 and 44, Park teaches the method of claims 1 and 31.

Park does not explicitly indicate receiving the media resource group list associated with the telephony device from the telephony device.

Kikcuhi teaches receiving the media resource group list associated with the telephony device from the telephony device (Column 5, lines 39 – 46)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the first call processor to handle the selection of the resource device in Park's system in order to allow that call manager to ensure the selected resource device is of the correct resource type (correct quality of service) to fill the telephony device's resource needs.

Regarding claim 16, Park teaches the method of claim 15, wherein the control module comprises a call control module (Column 3, lines 22 – 25).

Regarding claim 17, Park teaches the method of claim 15, wherein the control module comprises a media control module (Column 3, lines 25 – 27).

Regarding claim 30, Brown teaches the method of claim 15.

Park does not explicitly indicate that the control module is further operable to: receive the media resource group list associated with the telephony device from the telephony device; and communicate the media resource group list to the media resource manager.

Kikcuhi teaches receiving the media resource group list associated with the telephony device from the telephony device and communicate the media resource group list to the media resource manager (Column 5, lines 39 – 46).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the first call processor to handle the selection of the resource device in Park's system in order to allow that call manager to ensure the selected resource device is of the correct resource type (correct quality of service) to fill the telephony device's resource needs.

Claims 3-5, 19-21, and 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park in view of Kikcuhi, and in further view of Gilman (5757781).

Regarding claims 3, 19, and 33, Park teaches the method of claims 1, 15, and 31.

Art Unit: 2155

Park does not explicitly indicate determining that the telephony device requires the use of a media resource device comprises determining that the telephony device desires to initiate a conference call.

Gilman teaches a telecommunications system that allocates media resources to telephony devices which includes allowing the telephones to use conference calling (Column 5, lines 37 – 43; lines 53 – 66).

It would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Gilman's teachings of having conference calls as a media resource in Park's system in order to allow the expansion of just point-to-point calls into calls involving more parties, while using the resource allocation advantages of a dynamic system (Column 2, lines 5-22).

Regarding claims 4, 20, and 34, Park teaches the method of claims 1, 15, and 31.

Park does not explicitly indicate that determining the telephony device requires the use of a media resource device comprises determining that a media termination point is required to maintain a communication session with the telephony device.

Gilman teaches a telecommunications system that allocates media resources to telephony devices which includes using terminating means into an established communication (Column 2, lines 55 – 61).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Gilman's teaching of termination points as a media resource in Park's system in order to allow users more operations and choices for

Art Unit: 2155

telecommunicating including hold, transfer, conference and drop (Column 9, lines 51 – 54).

Regarding claims 5, 21, and 35, Park teaches the method of claims 1, 15, and 31.

Park does not explicitly indicate that determining the telephony device requires the use of a media resource device comprises determining that the telephony device has been placed on hold and may be connected to a music-on-hold server.

Gilman teaches a telecommunications system that allocates media resources to telephony devices which includes allowing music or video to be played while a telephony device is on hold (Column 10, lines 20 – 24).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Gilman's teaching a media resource to play music or video while a telephone is on hold in Park's system in order to provide additionally features to a user, while allowing those features to be dynamically allocated (Column 1, lines 48 – 55).

Claims 2, 18, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park in view of Kikcuhi, and in further view of Malomsoky (6512918).

Regarding claims 2, 18, and 32, Park teaches the method of claims 1, 15, and 31, wherein determining that the telephony device requires the use of a media resource device comprises determining that the telephony device desires to establish a telecommunication with a second telephony device (Column 4, lines 1 – 6)

Art Unit: 2155

Park does not explicitly indicate determining that a transcoder is required to establish the telecommunication.

Malomsoky discloses a call setup system that determines if a call needs a transcoder and selects a transcoder from a pool of transcoder resources to allocate to the call (Column 2, lines 32 – 39).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the a call to make use of a transcoder as a resource in Park's network to allow the telephony network be adaptable and maintain quality of service (Column 1, line 62 – Column 2, line 5).

Claims 9, 25, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park in view of Kikcuhi, and in further view of Holland (6304645).

Regarding claims 9, 25, and 39, Park teaches the method of claims 8, 24, and 35.

Park does not explicitly indicate that the media resource groups are ordered in the media resource group list; the device names are ordered in each media resource group; and selecting an appropriate media resource device comprises searching through the media resource groups and the device names in each media resource group in order till a device name associated with the required device type is found.

Kikuchi teaches the media resource groups are ordered in the media resource group list (Column 5, lines 35 – 40); selecting an appropriate media resource device comprises searching through the media resource groups in each media resource group

Art Unit: 2155

in order till a device name associated with the required device type is found (Column 5, lines 35 - 57; Column 6, lines 41 - 53).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the first call processor to handle the selection of the resource device in Park's system in order to allow that call manager to ensure the selected resource device is of the correct resource type (correct quality of service) to fill the telephony device's resource needs.

Holland teaches a system of ordering resource devices in a list in each media resource group, and selecting the resource based on searching the resource devices in order (Column 4, lines 15 – 29).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Holland's teaching of resource priority in order to attempt to optimize resource selection based on resource location.

Claims 10, 26, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park in view of Kikcuhi, and in further view of Shaffer (6687234).

Regarding claims 10, 26, and 40, Park teaches the method of claims 8, 24, and 38.

Park does not explicitly indicate that one or more of the media resource groups include only media resource devices located in the same geographic area.

Shaffer teaches using geographic locations of media resources in determining what applications use what media resource (Column 2, lines 11 - 26).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to take geographic location into consideration while determining optimal telecommunication resource allocation (Column 2, lines 49 – 60).

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-45 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### **Prior Art**

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- U. S. Patent No. 6460082 issued to Lumelsky, because it teaches allocating media resource devices.
- U. S. Patent No. 6253225 issued to Nakahara, because it teaches a resource management table including PID information.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Bates whose telephone number is (571) 272-3980. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2155

Page 13

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KB October 15, 2006

> SALEHNASSAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER